Strong Batching for Non-Interactive Statistical Zero-Knowledge by Preserving Entropy under Hash Composition.

Changrui Mu¹ supervisor: Prashant Nalini Vasudevan 1

¹National University of Singapore

This poster is based on the"Strong Batching for Non-Interactive Statistical Zero-Knowledge" [Mu, Nassar, Rothblum, and Vasudevan; Eurocrypt2024].

Non-Interactive Statistical Zero Knowledge Proofs [\[GMR89](#page-0-0); [BFM88](#page-0-1)].

¹ Completeness: If *x ∈* YES: Verifier accept with 99%.

- **2** Soundness: If $x \in$ NO: No Prover can make Verifier accept with probability more than $\frac{1}{3}$ 3 .
- **8 Statistical Zero-Knowledge:**

There exists some efficient simulator algorithm Sim such that on any YES input *x ∈* YES, it can simulate a distribution *statistically* close to the Verifier's view in the protocol:

 $\textsf{Sim}(x) \approx_{s} CRS||\pi$.

We call the class of problems that have non-interactive statistical zero-knowledge proofs **NISZK** problems.

NISZK Complete Problems [[SCPY98;](#page-0-2) [GSV99\]](#page-0-3)

The class **NISZK** has complete problems. That is, there exists a problem Π such that:

- Π can be proved in non-interactive statistical zero-knowledge proof.
- Every promise problem that has non-interactive statistical zero-knowledge proof can be reduced to Π .

Theorem 1: Approximate Injectivity (AI) [\[KRRSV20](#page-0-4); [KRV21](#page-0-5)]

Input: circuit $C: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^t \quad t \geq n$

How is Injectivity related to Non-Interactive Statistical Zero-Knowledge?

 \Box Input: length-preserving circuit $C: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$

When $t > n$, we can not compose directly, and using random hash functions to connect them is a natural idea. However, even one round of such composition on injective circuits will introduce massive collisions.

We observe and prove that the collision probability is preserved under the hash composition

 $\overline{C} = h_k \circ C_k \circ \cdots \circ h_1 \circ C_1$.

NISZK Batching [\[KRRSV20](#page-0-4); [KRV21;](#page-0-5) [MNRV24\]](#page-0-6)

In batching verification setting, there are *k* instances to verify, we want to verify them in SZK proof with communication better than naive repetition. Specifically, if *m* is the number of communication bits required for one instance, we want the communication cost for verifying *k* instances to be much less than *k · m*.

- Derandomization: The Collision Probability of the Composited Circuit can be modelled by a Read-Once Branching Program. Nisan's pseudorandom generator[\[Nis92](#page-0-7)] is used to sample hash functions, which derandomizes the Common Random String (CRS).
- [\[KRV24](#page-0-8)]: **Doubly-Efficient** Batch Verification in SZK for **NISZK** *∩* **UP**.

- Completeness: Perfect, because any value of z has a preimage of the permutation. ■ Soundness: NO case, the circuit is L-to-one, a random z doesn't have a preimage with probability at least $1-1/L$.
- ZK: simulator samples x and output $(crs = C(x), \pi = x)$. Perfect Zero-Knowledge
- O. Keret, R. D. Rothblum, and P. N. Vasudevan. *Doubly-Efficient Batch Verification in Statistical Zero-Knowledge*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2024/781. [https://eprint.iacr.org/](https://eprint.iacr.org/2024/781) [2024/781](https://eprint.iacr.org/2024/781). 2024. url: <https://eprint.iacr.org/2024/781>.
- C. Mu, S. Nassar, R. D. Rothblum, and P. N. Vasudevan. "Strong Batching for Non-Interactive Statistical Zero-Knowledge". In: *IACR Cryptol. ePrint Arch.* (2024). [https://eprint.iacr.](https://eprint.iacr.org/2024/229) [org/2024/229](https://eprint.iacr.org/2024/229). url: <https://eprint.iacr.org/2024/229>.
- I. Kaslasi, R. D. Rothblum, and P. N. Vasudevan. "Public-Coin Statistical Zero-Knowledge Batch Verification Against Malicious Verifiers". In: *Advances in Cryptology - EUROCRYPT 2021 - 40th Annual International Conference on the Theory and Applications of Cryptographic Techniques*. Vol. 12698. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2021, pp. 219–246. doi: [10.1007/978-3-030-77883-5_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-77883-5_8).
- \mathbb{E} I. Kaslasi, G. N. Rothblum, R. D. Rothblum, A. Sealfon, and P. N. Vasudevan. "Batch Verification for Statistical Zero Knowledge Proofs". In: *Theory of Cryptography - 18th International Conference, TCC 2020.* Vol. 12551. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2020, pp. 139-167. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-64378-2\ 6.
- \mathbb{E} O. Goldreich, A. Sahai, and S. Vadhan. "Can Statistical Zero Knowledge Be Made Non-interactive? or On the Relationship of SZK and NISZK". In: *Advances in Cryptology — CRYPTO' 99*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999, pp. 467-484. ISBN: 978-3-540-48405-9.
- E A. D. Santis, G. D. Crescenzo, G. Persiano, and M. Yung. "Image Density is Complete for Non-Interactive-SZK (Extended Abstract)". In: *Automata, Languages and Programming, 25th* International Colloquium, ICALP'98, Proceedings. Vol. 1443. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 1998, pp. 784–795. DOI: [10.1007/BFb0055102](https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0055102).
- F. N. Nisan. "Pseudorandom generators for space-bounded computation". In: *Comb.* 12.4 (1992), pp. 449-461. DOI: [10.1007/BF01305237](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01305237).
	- S. Goldwasser, S. Micali, and C. Rackoff. "The Knowledge Complexity of Interactive Proof Systems". In: SIAM J. Comput. 18.1 (1989), pp. 186-208. DOI: [10.1137/0218012](https://doi.org/10.1137/0218012).
- \mathbb{E} M. Blum, P. Feldman, and S. Micali. "Non-Interactive Zero-Knowledge and Its Applications (Extended Abstract)". In: *Proceedings of the 20th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*. ACM, 1988, pp. 103-112. DOI: [10.1145/62212.62222](https://doi.org/10.1145/62212.62222).

 \blacksquare

Theorem 2: NISZK Strong Batching [\[MNRV24](#page-0-6)]

Suppose a problem Π *has NISZK protocol with m*(*n*) *bits of communication and CRS length, then* f *or* any $k \in O(2^{n^{0.01}})$, there exists a NISZK protocol that proves k instances x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k with *poly*(*m,* log *k*) *communication and CRS length.*

Reduce *k* **instances to one**

If *k* circuits are length preserving, direct composition gives a new length-preserving instance:

 $\overline{C} = C_k \circ \cdots \circ C_1$

Scan for handouts!

Specifically:

If $C_1, \ldots, C_k \in \mathsf{YES}$, with 1-negl probability: $cp(\bar{C}) = \Pr$ $\Pr_{x_1, x_2 \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n} [\bar{C}(x_1) = \bar{C}(x_2)] \le \frac{2k+1}{2^n}$ 2 *n* or, the Rényi Entropy (order 2) is big: $H_2(\bar{C}) = -\log cp(\bar{C}) \geq n - \log k + 1.$ ■ If some $C_i \in \mathsf{NO}$, the Max Entropy of \overline{C} is small: $H_0(\bar{C}) = \log |\text{support}(\bar{C})| \leq n - \log L, \ L \in O(2^{n^{0.01}}).$

C is $YES(AI_{\delta,L})$ if it is injective on all but δ -fraction of inputs

C is $NO(AI_{8.L})$ if it is L-to-1 on all but δ -fraction of inputs

 $\mathbf{AI}_{\delta,\mathbf{L}}$ is $\mathsf{NISZK}\text{-}\mathbf{complete}$ for $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{n})<\mathbf{2^{n^{0.1}}}, \delta>\mathbf{2^{-n^{0.1}}}.$ [[KRRSV20](#page-0-4); [KRV21\]](#page-0-5)

Reduce Entropy to Uniformity/Injectivity

The prover and verifier will reduce *k* instances of a NISZK-complete problem to one instance, and run one execution of NISZK protocol on the single instance. Note that the communication cost of the protocol is dependent on the input/output length of the circuit, and thus will not increase much.

What's More

References